UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,567	12/12/2003	Horst Rossler	Q78815 8602	
23373 7590 10/02/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			BROOKS, SHANNON	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			ſ 	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,567	ROSSLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shannon R. Brooks	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> .				
, 	,—				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/733,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 18 has the claim limitation "a Computer Software Product" in line 1 of the claim.

The claim lacks a proper preamble necessary to establish a statutory computer program claim. The Examiner suggest inserting in line 1, language such as "a computer readable medium encoded with a computer program executed by a controller..." for example.

Note- MPEP 2106.01.1. Data structures not claimed as embedded in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and othe claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 2617

- - - -

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 18 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the specification does not have support for a "Computer software product".

Consider Claim 1, Crockett teaches a method for coordinating location dependent information, services, or tasks, comprising the steps of deriving and refining location information of at least one user based on location measurements or proximity observations (Pg. 1, [0009]-[0011], providing location information of said at least one user (Abstract and Pg. 1, [0009]), initiating tasks (Pg. 1, [0009]), providing information, or services dependent on said location information (Abstract), by a locator entity in a distributed system gathering said location measurements or proximity observations and aggregating said location information of said of at least one user (Pg. 3, [0069]-[0077] and Abstract), said locator entity restricting the accesses to said location information by further comprising the steps of authenticating and authorizing or trusting inquirer parties (Pg. 4, [0106], Pg. 6, [0161]-[0173], Pg. 7, [0194] and Pg. 8, [0196]), and serving location information requests according to inquirer's grants wherein said grants depend on the relative location or absolute location of an inquirer or a user (Pg. 8, [0205]-[0210], Pg. 9, [0211]-[0214]), an inquirer's identity, an inquirer's intention, a user's intention, time, or an information exchange contract (Pg. 4, [0106]).

Consider Claim 9, Crockett teaches a Locator Agent Unit for coordinating location

Art Unit: 2617

dependent information, services, or tasks comprising locating means for receiving and/or deriving location information and providing location information and comprising means for initiating tasks or services dependent on the derived location information (Pg. 8, [0205]-[0210] and Pg. 9, [0211]-[0214]) comprising a uniform networking interface for detecting devices feeding location information (Pg. 1, [0016]-[0039]), enabling communication with inquirers' and other parties' client (Abstract), and allowing controlling the locator agent unit, wherein said locator agent unit comprises investigation means for identifying and coordinating location information sources or inquirers' and other parties' clients (Pg. 8, [0205]-[0210] and Pg. 9, [0211]-[0214]).

Consider Claim 15, Crockett teaches a Locator Device for coordinating location dependent information, services, and tasks, providing location measurements and proximity observations of a user, wherein said locator device provides a network interface to a locator agent unit's investigation means for identifying and coordinating location information sources or inquirers' and other parties' clients (Pg. 4, [0108]-[0112], Pg. 5, [0113]-[0128]).

Consider Claim 16, Crockett teaches a Locator Client Device for exchanging location dependent information or coordinating location dependent services or tasks by comprising communication means for communicating requests and location dependent information wherein said locator client device comprises a network interface to a locator agent units's investigation means for identifying and coordinating location information sources or inquirers' and other parties' clients (Pg. 4, [0092]-[0112], Pg. 5, [0113]-[0129]).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider Claim 17, Crockett teaches a Distributed Locator System (Pg. 2, [0029]) for providing coordinated location information dependent information, services, or tasks (Abstract), comprising a network (Pg. 2, [0029] and Figure) and locator agent units providing a uniform location information interface (Pg. 8, [0205]-[0206]), wherein a personalized locator agent unit is located in the distributed locator system dependent on said location information (Pg. 2, [0017]-[0018]).

Consider Claim 2, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said location information is encrypted (for ensuring privacy) (Pg. 6, [0153]-[0173]).

Consider Claim 3, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein for said authenticating and authorizing or trusting cryptography techniques are applied (Pg. 6, [0153]-[0173]).

Consider Claim 4, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the method comprises the further step of investigating said distributed system for identifying and coordinating location information sources or inquirers' and other parties' clients ((Pg. 6, [0153]-[0173] and Pg. 4, [0099]-[0100]).

Consider Claim 5, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said provided location information further comprises tracking information or planned location information (Pg.

Art Unit: 2617

4, [0100]).

Consider Claim 6, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein said location information is a fusion of multiple location measurements or multiple proximity observations improving the location precision and reliability (Pg. 4, [0100] and Pg. 8, [0209]-[0210] and Pg. 9, [0211]-[0214]).

Consider Claim 7, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of pro active involving a user or another party dependent on location, time, or contextual user preferences (read as use at park, Pg. 9, [0214]).

Consider Claim 8, Crockett teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of specifying the behavior of the locator entity or inquirer's grants by an authenticated and authorized party (Pg. 4, [0106].

Consider Claim 10, Crockett teaches the Locator Agent Unit according to claim 9, comprising a map unit for collecting location information from said sources, aggregating said location information onto a virtual map (LocMod), and organization means for coordinating location dependent information, services, or tasks dependent on said aggregated location and depend on the relative location or absolute location of a inquirer or a user, an inquirer's identity, an inquirer's intention, a user's intention, time, or an information exchange contract (read as system

Art Unit: 2617

storing boundaries Pg. 9, [0214]-[0217] and Pg. 4, [0106]).

Consider Claim 11, Crockett teaches the Locator Agent Unit according to claim 9, further comprising means for authenticating and authorizing client devices or inquirers (read as determine a device is within a boundary, Pg. 10, [0226]-[0227]).

Consider Claim 12, Crockett teaches the Locator Agent Unit according to claim 9, wherein said locator agent unit further comprises means for location information encryption and decryption for ensuring privacy (read as utilizes encrypted devices, (pg. 6, [0161]-[0173] and Pg. 8, [0205]).

Consider Claim 13, Crockett teaches The Locator Agent Unit according to claim 9, wherein said locator agent unit is realized by a network service (Pg. 8, [0205]).

Consider Claim 14, Crokett teaches the Locator Agent Unit according to claim 12, wherein said network service is realized by an Internet service (Pg. 2, [0021]-[0030]).

Consider Claim 18, Crockett teaches a Computer Software Product for coordinating location dependent information, services, and tasks comprising computer program means for performing the method according to claim 1 (Pg. 2, [0029] and [0040], Pg. 3, [0052]-[0053]).

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

3. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shannon Brooks whose telephone number is (571) 270-1115. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,567 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shannon R. Brooks

September 27, 2007

CHARLES N. APPIAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER